

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: RSP DCO
Date: 09 June 2019 18:16:50

Hello team

Having followed the application process I note that the applicant misses deadlines set by the examiner - why is this permitted?

I note that the application is very broad and examiner has to request details constantly , it seems the application is economic with details of the project. Why is this permitted ?

It often appears the applicants legal team have a bullying aggressive attitude when asked for information or are questioned on their replies. Why is this allowed

Application seems to lack environmental details , why is this permitted.?

It often appears that the applicant is unable to answer questions and has to be again informed to provide it and the next deadline, why is this permitted

The applicants late delivery of information on all subjects is very concerning .This should not be acceptable ?

The applicant has scant details about financing the project why is this permitted to be acceptable?

The applicant has no money itself as has been shown during the examination. Why is the application permitted to continue on that basis?

The applicant has restructured yet again and these new companies and the existing ones have no historic financial information and what is available is very basic .

The applicant is asking for the DCO to be financed based on non existent investors whom they " hope " to attract IF they get the DCO

The applicant has failed to enter into serious negotiations with the land owners , a basic requirement.

PINS is having to work hard to extract information needed for the DCO , it is clearly a poorly researched and low funded low budget application.

It appears the applicant endeavours to get other interested parties to prove it is not viable as a freight air cargo port rather than themselves show it to be a viable project.

Paul Dawkins [REDACTED]